UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

P J COTTER,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 16-13080

Honorable Sean F. Cox

Magistrate Judge Anthony P. Patti

v.

U.S. BANK, N.A. AS TRUSTEE FOR GREENPOINT MORTGAGE FUNDING TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR4; OCWEN LOAN SERVICING, LLC; and TROTT LAW, P.C.,

Defendants.

FILED

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR IN ORDER (D.E. 29) UNDER FRCP 60(a)

Plaintiff, PJ Cotter, asks the Court to correct clerical errors within its *Order*Adopting 4/4/17 and 4/5/17 Reports And Recommendations And Dismissing

Plaintiff's Claims (the "Order") (D.E. 29) under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a).

WHEREFORE, for these reasons found in the accompanying Memorandum, Plaintiff, PJ Cotter asks the Court:

- 1. To amend its *Order* to accommodate the timeliness of his Objections and subsequent Amended Objection, or,
- 2. In the alternative, vacate its *Order* (D.E. 29) and *Judgment* (D.E. 30) and grant Plaintiff 28 days to amend his Complaint.

Note: No Proposed Order is attached, since the Plaintiff is not certain how the Court can correct the clerical errors without reweighing the issues.

Respectfully submitted,

P J Cotter, Pro se

PO Box 131 Anchorville, MI 48004

810-459-6972

pocmich@yahoo.com

Date: May 18, 2017

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 18, 2017, I filed a hardcopy of the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court, which will send notification of such filing to all registered recipients via the ECF, and upon the following by first-class United States mail, with postage prepaid:

Nasseem S. Ramin **DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC**400 Renaissance Center, 37th Floor
Detroit, MI 48243

Paul J. Santi **TROTT LAW, P.C.** 31440 Northwestern Hwy Suite 200 Farmington Hills, MI 48334

> By: Of Cotton P J Cotter, Pro se

PO Box 131

Anchorville, MI 48004

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

PJ Cotter,	
Plaintiff.	
v.	Case No. 16-13080
U.S. Bank. N.A., et al.,	Sean F. Cox United States District Court Judge
Defendants.	

ORDER ADOPTING 4/4/17 AND 4/5/17 REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS

Acting *pro se*, Plaintiff PJ Cotter filed this action in state court, naming three Defendants (U.S. Bank, Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, and Trott Law, P.C.) and seeking to halt a pending foreclosure and sheriff's sale of his property in St. Clair County, Michigan.

Defendants removed the action to this Court on August 25, 2016. Thereafter, on September 1, 2016, a Motion to Dismiss was filed by Defendant Ocwen and U.S. Bank. (D.E. No. 5). On October 12, 2016, Defendant Trott filed its own dispositive motion. (D.E. No. 13). Both motions were referred to Magistrate Judge Anthony Patter for issuance of reports and recommendations.

In a twenty-one page Report and Recommendation ("R&R") issued on April 4, 2017 (D.E. No. 24), the magistrate judge carefully considered all of the claims asserted against U.S. Bank and Ocwen. He concluded that Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted against Defendants U.S. Bank and Ocwen" and recommended that the Court "grant Defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint in its entirety, on the merits." (*Id.* at Pg ID 894).

In an R&R issued on April 5, 2017 (D.E. No. 25), the magistrate judge addressed the

sparse and conclusory allegations against Defendant Trott and recommended that the Court grant

Defendant Trott's motion and dismiss Defendant Trott from this action.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), a party objecting to the recommended disposition of a

matter by a Magistrate Judge must filed objections to the R&R within fourteen (14) days after

being served with a copy of the R&R.

The record reflects that Plaintiff has filed three different sets of objections to the two

R&Rs (D.E. Nos. 26, 27, and 28), filed on April 21, 2017, and April 24, 2017.

None of the objections appear to have been timely filed. And more importantly, having

reviewed the magistrate judge's two R&Rs and Plaintiff's stated objections to them, the Court

concurs with the magistrate judge's careful analysis and finds Plaintiff's objections to be without

merit.

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the magistrate judge's April 4, 2017 R&R and his

April 5, 2017 R&R. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's claims are DISMISSED

WITH PREJUDICE.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 2, 2017

s/Sean F. Cox

Sean F. Cox

U. S. District Judge

I hereby certify that on May 2, 2017, the foregoing document was served on counsel of record

2

via electronic means and upon PJ Cotter via First Class mail at the address below:

PJ Cotter

PO Box 131

Anchorville, MI 48004

s/J. McCov

Case Manager

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

PJ Cotter,	
Plaintiff,	
V.	Case No. 16-13080
U.S. Bank, N.A., et al.,	Sean F. Cox United States District Court Judge
Defendants.	
<u>JUDGMENT</u>	
For the reasons set forth in Reports	and Recommendation issued on April 4, 2017, and
April 5, 2017, and an Order issued this date	e, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND
DECREED that this action is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE .	
Dated: May 2, 2017	s/Sean F. Cox Sean F. Cox U. S. District Judge
I hereby certify that on May 2, 2017, the forvia electronic means and upon PJ Cotter vi	oregoing document was served on counsel of record ia First Class mail at the address below:
PJ Cotter PO Box 131 Anchorville, MI 48004	
	s/J. McCoy Case Manager

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

P J COTTER,

Plaintiff.

Case No. 16-13080

Honorable Sean F. Cox

v.

Magistrate Judge Anthony P. Patti

U.S. BANK, N.A. AS TRUSTEE FOR GREENPOINT MORTGAGE FUNDING TRUST MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR4; OCWEN LOAN SERVICING, LLC; and TROTT LAW, P.C..

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO CORRECT CLERICAL ERROR IN ORDER (D.E. 29)

Plaintiff, PJ Cotter, asks the Court to correct its clerical error within its

Order Adopting 4/4/17 and 4/5/17 Reports and Recommendations and

Dismissing Plaintiff's Claims (the "Order") (D.E. 29) under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(a).

A. INTRODUCTION

1. The Plaintiff filed this motion as soon as he realized that the judgment contained clerical errors.

- **2.** There is no deadline to file this Motion.
- 3. The Order reads,
 - Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), a party objecting to the recommended disposition of a matter by a Magistrate Judge must filed objections to the R&R within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of the R&R. (D.E. 29, p. 2, \P 2.)
- 4. The first Report and Recommendation to Grant U.S. Bank and Ocwen's Motion to Dismiss (DE 5) ("R&R 1") was filed April 4, 2017. (D.E. 24.)
- 5. The second Report and Recommendation to Grant Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings ("R&R 2") was filed April 5, 2017. (D.E. 13.)
- 6. Plaintiff filed his Objections to R&R 1 on April 21, 2017. (D.E. 26.)
- 7. Plaintiff filed his Objections to R&R 2 and his Amended Objection to R&R 1 on April 24, 2017. (D.E. 26 & 27.)
- **8.** The R&Rs gave the Movants 14 days to respond to Plaintiff's objections.
- 9. Mr. Cotter amended his first set of objections to fully conform to the Procedure on Objections as laid out in R&R 1 before the Movant responded to the objections.
- **10.** The Court adopted R&R 1 and 2 before the Movant's window to respond had expired.
- 11. The Plaintiff does not file electronically.

12. Plaintiff was served the R&Rs by mail.

B. ARGUMENT

A court can correct a clerical error in an order or judgment so that it reflects the actual intentions and necessary implications of the court's decision. The Order reads,

None of the objections appear to have been timely filed. And more importantly, having reviewed the magistrate judge's two R&Rs and Plaintiff's stated objections to them, the Court concurs with the magistrate judge's careful analysis and finds Plaintiff's objections to be without merit. (D.E. 29, p. 2, \P 4.)

Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d) gives parties an additional three days to respond to a document served by any means other than personal delivery.

The Magistrate Judge's recommendation and proposed findings of fact were served by mail. Objections were to be filed within 14 days under FRCP 72.

However, the objecting party has an additional three days to file and serve the objections, Three days are added for service.

The Court miscalculated the Plaintiff's time to respond.

Further, the Court deprived the Movants of their 14-day window to respond.

The correction of the clerical errors will not affect the substantive rights of the parties.

Plaintiff contends the *Order* (D.E. 29) and *Judgment* (D.E. 30) were premature given the Court's miscalculations.

Plaintiff fears the Court not only shortchanged the time period to object, but also failed to give full consideration to his objections given they were viewed as late.

A clerical error is a mistake, oversight, or omission in the recitation of the judgment that causes the judgment to inaccurately reflect what was intended by the court. Rivera v. PNS Stores, 647 F.3d 188, 193-94 (5th Cir.2011); Truskoski v. ESPN, Inc., 60 F.3d 74, 77 (2d Cir.1995); American Fed'n of Grain Millers v. Cargill Inc., 15 F.3d 726, 728 (7th Cir.1994); see also In re Walter, 282 F.3d 434, 440 (6th Cir.2002) (basic purpose of rule is to authorize court to correct mechanical errors that arise from oversight or omission). A clerical error correctable under FRCP 60(a) can be made by the clerk, the judge, or even the parties.

C. Conclusion

For these reasons Plaintiff, PJ Cotter asks the Court:

1. To amend its *Order* to accommodate the timeliness of his Objections and subsequent Amended Objection, or,

2. In the alternative, vacate its *Order* (D.E. 29) and *Judgment* (D.E. 30) and grant Plaintiff 28 days to amend his Complaint.

Note: No Proposed Order is attached, since the Plaintiff is not certain how the Court can correct the clerical errors without reweighing the issues.

Respectfully submitted,

P J Cotter, Pro se PO Box 131 Anchorville, MI 48004 810-459-6972 pocmich@yahoo.com

Date: May 18, 2017

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 18, 2017, I filed a hardcopy of the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court, which will send notification of such filing to all registered recipients via the ECF, and upon the following by first-class United States mail, with postage prepaid:

Nasseem S. Ramin **DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC**400 Renaissance Center, 37th Floor

Detroit, MI 48243

Paul J. Santi **TROTT LAW, P.C**. 31440 Northwestern Hwy Suite 200 Farmington Hills, MI 48334

P J Cotter, Pro se

PO Box 131

Anchorville, MI 48004